RECEIVED

BEFORE THE POSTAL RATE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

AUG 8 4 40 PM '00

POSTAL PATE CHIPMINAN
OFFICE OF THE SECREMARY

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 2000

Docket No. R2000-1

RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
WITNESS PATELUNAS TO INTERROGATORIES OF
THE AMERICAN BANKERS ASSOCIATION AND NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF
PRESORT MAILERS
(ABA& NAPM/USPS-ST44-9-11, 13, 26(a) and 27)

The United States Postal Service hereby provides the responses of witness Patelunas to the following interrogatories of the American Bankers Association and National Association of Presort Mailers: ABA&NAPM/USPS—ST44-9-11 and 13, filed on July 25, 2000 and ABA&NAPM/USPS-ST44-26(a) and 27, filed on July 28, 2000. Interrogatories ABA&NAPM/USPS—ST44-1-8, 12, 20(a), 21, 22-25, and 26(b)-(d) were redirected to the Postal Service.

It should be noted that responses are not provided to interrogatories

ABA&NAPM/USPS-ST44-14 through 19, as these were withdrawn by ABA & NAPM. It also should be noted that ABA & NAPM, throughout some of their interrogatories, characterize the Postal Service's response to Order No. 1294 as a "revised filing." The Postal Service's interrogatory responses should not be considered agreement with that characterization; the Postal Service considers its response to Order No. 1294 to consist of a requested update rather than a "revised filing."

Each interrogatory is stated verbatim and is followed by the response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr. Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

Susan M. Duchek

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 (202) 268–2990 Fax –5402 August 8, 2000

Response of United States Postal Service witness Patelunas to Interrogatories of American Bankers Association and National Association of Presort Mailers

ABA&NAPM/USPS-ST44-9.

- a) Please explain with all documentation the additional \$102.5 million you assume in test year savings under the caption "improve manual letter productivity" in exhibit USPS-ST-44Z.
- b) Please explain the basis of your two cost reduction plug assumptions in the above exhibit, \$102.5 million for single piece letters versus \$51.4 million for automated letters, which has the net effect of giving the appearance of reduced cost avoidance for workshared letters.
- c) For each category in Exhibit USPS-ST-44Z, please break down the updated cost reduction by the following categories: (a) First Class single piece letters;
 (b) First Class metered letters;
 (c) First Class automation presort letters.

- a) The additional \$102.5 million "improve manual letter productivity" amount was supplied as the Postal Service's best estimate of this program consistent with the assumptions underlying the FY 2001 budget planning process.
- b) Assuming that "workshared" letters is synonymous with "presorted" letters, the difference between the \$102.5 million for single piece letters and the \$51.4 million for automated letters does not give the appearance of "reduced cost avoidance for workshared letters." The difference is the amount of savings projected for each cost reduction program; one program is bigger than the other.
- c) The rollforward model operates on the class, subclass and special service level of detail reported in the Cost and Revenue Analysis report. As such, First Class metered letters and First Class automation presort letters are not reported separately. To see all the cost reduction impacts on First Class

Response of United States Postal Service witness Patelunas to Interrogatories of American Bankers Association and National Association of Presort Mailers

Single Piece Letters, please see Table 6 of Volumes A - G of USPS-LR-I-

410. Additional mail processing cost reduction detail is available in USPS-LR-I-408.

Response of United States Postal Service witness Patelunas to Interrogatories of American Bankers Association and National Association of Presort Mailers

ABA&NAPM/USPS-ST44-10.

- a) In your revised filing in Exhibit USPS-ST-44Z, how much of your (i)
 "breakthrough productivity" initiatives, and (ii) other cost reduction factors by
 source, are allocated to: (a) First Class single piece letters; (b) metered
 letters and (c) presort letters in cost segment 3.1?
- b) In your final adjustments in Exhibit USPS-ST-44W ("D Report"), please crosswalk the cost reductions by subclass to Exhibit USPS-ST-44Z. If there is not a full reconciliation, please explain the sources of the other cost reductions in the former exhibit.

- a) The rollforward model operates on the class, subclass and special service level of detail reported in the Cost and Revenue Analysis report. As such, First Class metered letters are not reported separately. To see all the cost reduction impacts on First Class Single Piece Letters, please see Table 6 of Volumes A G of USPS-LR-I-410. Presort Letters are reported for component grouping 3.1 in those tables and additional mail processing cost reduction detail for component grouping 3.1 is available in USPS-LR-I-408.
- b) I do not understand the question because there is no relationship to crosswalk between the final adjustments reported in the "D Reports" and the cost reductions reported in Exhibit USPS-ST44Z. The final adjustments can be found in USPS-LR-I-419 and the cost reductions can be found by class, subclass and special service in USPS-LR-I-410, Table 6.

Response of United States Postal Service witness Patelunas to Interrogatories of American Bankers Association and National Association of Presort Mailers

ABA&NAPM/USPS-ST44-11.

- a) Please confirm that test year volumes for First Class single piece letters and presort letters have not changed as between the original filing and revised filing. If you can not confirm, explain why not.
- b) Please confirm that the test year volumes for First Class basic automation letters, 3 digit prebarcoded and 5 digit prebarcoded letters have not changed between the original filing and the revised filing. If you can not confirm, explain why not.

- a) Confirmed that the same test year volumes that were used in the Request were used in the update.
- b) Confirmed that the same test year volumes that were used in the Request were used in the update.

Response of United States Postal Service witness Patelunas to Interrogatories of American Bankers Association and National Association of Presort Mailers

ABA&NAPM/USPS-ST44-13.

Please refer to the attachment, which compares witness Patelunas' rollforward with mix adjustment figures to the test year in ST-44, before final adjustment plugs, to USPS witness Kashani's corresponding file as revised.

- a) Please confirm that the effect over all cost segments of the USPS revised filing as shown in the attachment is to add 311,863,000 to costs to First Class letters and to cut from Standard A Commercial mail (-\$309,275,000). If you can not confirm, explain why not.
- b) Please confirm that in the Postal Service's revised filing, there has been little if any shift in the volume of Standard A Regular commercial mail. If you can not confirm, explain why not.
- c) Please explain each and every source for the \$238,753,000 reduction in mail processing costs contained in the revised filing for Standard A Regular commercial mail as shown in the attachment.
- d) Please explain each and every source for the \$462,176,000 reduction in total unit costs contained in the revised filing for Standard A Regular commercial mail as shown in the attachment.
- e) Please confirm that one reason for the shifting of costs from Standard A Regular commercial mail in your revised filing is to obtain a higher cost coverage for that subclass under your original rate recommendations. If you can not confirm, explain why not.

- a) Confirmed that First Class Mail Letters increased \$311,863,000 and Standard A Commercial mail decreased \$309,275,000.
- b) Confirmed that the same volumes were used in the Request and the update.
- c) Please see my response to AAP/USPS-ST44-9(b).
- d) Please see my response to AAP/USPS-ST44-9(b).
- e) Not confirmed. The assumptions used in the updated revenue requirement and rollforward were not based on any cost coverage calculations.

Original vs Updated Cost Segments After Rates FY01 (W/Mix) (\$1,000)

Cost Segments	First-Class Single Place Letters			First-Class Present Leffers			Styndard A Reguler			Standard A ECR			Total Difference FC Latters	Total Difference Std. A Core.
	Original 1/	Updated 2r	Officers to	Crisinal	المختفع ال	Office Co.	Original 7/	Updated Or	Ofference	Original	Updated 11/	Ofference 12/	13	14
CIS-1, Perferenteti	110,002	114,000		70.371	67,447		40,000	44.00		29,000	25,620			
C/5-2, Superv.& Techn	000,065	900,000		203,776	250,847		340,308	320,400		111,106	195,010			
OS-3, Clario & Mail famili	COT,100.0	6,662,193		1,679,322	1,786,361		2,696,833	2,430,430		340,190	434,580			
C/8-4, Clurts CAG-K Offices	3,476	1,042		1,017	341		***	884		70	139			
COS-467, COVID-1 CONTA	1,901,877	1,674,745		1,267,404	1,249,164		1,417,630	1,345,300		981,201	\$37,300			
C/S-0, Vols Serv Drivers	\$1,684	32,000		21,867	27,762		WA,N	62,156		47,740	48,861	-	-	1
C/S-14, Fluid Chirles	315,800	305,400		280,195	267,310	•	460,229	437,210		353,720	409,2 (9			
C/S-11, Cust & Maint Services	646,047	954,396	•	224,619	220,569	7	290,015	274,745		50,501	62,579	, et a		
C/5-12, Motor Vall., Services	29,370	30,134	•	~ 10,600			26,100	20,74		-20,000	20,007			
C/S-13, Mec Oper Costs	2,351	2,687		1,6330	1,627		1,660	1,677		1,972	1,127		-	
DIS-14, Pumberd Transp.	530,510	#12,816		307,441	415,023		377,469	359,355		60,260	57,000			
Cris. 15, Building Occupantly	320,807	325,461		106,005	110,153		109,358	154,939		40,443	49,922			
C/S-10, Suggitat & Sandon	672,130	000,012		126,104	146,563		186,304	220 720		62,836	77,700	1	:	, Ab
C/S-16, Admin & Area Oper	790,230	631,252		309,672	322,019	b.	434,434	417,105	47.	150,435	172,213	A.		
C/6-30, Other Ador'd Eightenin	880,584	C30,005	,	329,663	334,463		469,130	416,663	7	124,000	133,062	73	Ŷ.	
TOTAL	12,025,001	12,153,623		5,000,247	5,182,178	ī	4,971,212	6,606,636	4	2,390,026	2,551,627			
C/S-3. I, Mast Processoring	5,127,604	5,633,800		· 1,617,340 ·	1,327,474		2,477,482	2,220,200		200,004	360,425			
C/S-887810, DeBrary	2,217,746	2,202,244		1,540,000	0,538,503		1,002,000	1,782,519	5.	1,316,429	1,346,811			•

^{11, 41, 71 &}amp; 10' are from USPS-T-14, Exhibit USPS-14(C 2/, 51, 4' & 11' are from USPS-ST-44, Exhibit USPS-ST-44V 31, 61, 4' & 17' are the differences between updated and original coals 13' is the sum of 3' & 4' 14' is the sum of 3' & 12'

Response of United States Postal Service witness Patelunas to Interrogatories of American Bankers Association and National Association of Presort Mailers

ABA&NAPM/USPS-ST44-26.

- a) Beyond the incorporation of actual 1999 CRA data (BY99) in your revised roll-forward model to TY2001 before final adjustments, what other cost adjustment factors are explicitly factored into the roll-forward model by year before final adjustments in (I) BY1999; (2) 2000; (3) TY2001?
- b) What cost adjustment factors are explicitly factored into the final adjustments for TY2001?
- c) If there are cost adjustment factors that are incorporated into both the roll-forward before final adjustments and the final adjustments, please explain why, or what elements of each such factor are applied to the two procedures.
- d) Please explain why direct costs only, without piggybacks, are all that is needed for your final adjustments in response to Commission Order # 1294.

- a) The cost adjustment factors presented in Exhibit USPS-ST44L are explicitly factored into the roll-forward model by year before final adjustments in (1) BY1999; (2) FY2000; (3) TY2001. The impact of these cost adjustment factors can be seen in USPS-LR-I-410.
- b d) Redirected to the Postal Service.

Response of United States Postal Service witness Patelunas to Interrogatories of American Bankers Association and National Association of Presort Mailers

ABA&NAPM/USPS-ST-44-27.

Please confirm that the sole source of your cost adjustments in Exhibit USPS-ST-44Z is the Postal Service's current budget process or operating budget or planning budget for FY2001, and for each cost adjustment factor please cite to the appropriate budget document and page of that document.

Response:

Confirmed. The FY2001 budget has not been approved.

DECLARATION

I, Richard Patelunas, declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing answers to interrogatories are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Ruldfil

Dated: 8/8/00

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 12 of the Rules of Practice.

Susan M. Duchek

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 (202) 268–2990 Fax –5402 August 8, 2000